

WORKSHOP #3

"EUROPEAN QUALITY BRAND"

Sabine HESSE – Philippe EUGENE – David WHITHWORTH - Martial SCHNEIDER – Paul GRECH – Henrik KOLGA - Peter RIEDWEG – Denis SCHNŒBELEN

Current situation:

- We thought that CE marking would afford some protection against low quality products. We know today that this was never the intention behind CE marking
- So our industry continues to be exposed to low cost, low quality products offering inferior performance
- In Europe we do have good standards, both voluntary and CE marking, but are they adequate?

Proposition:

- To develop a European quality mark for ARGE members
- For ARGE to own and manage this quality mark across our industry
- The ARGE European quality mark would be based on CEN standards

Workshop output:

- Does a European quality mark provide an advantage to our members?
- If Yes do we develop and manage the mark through ARGE?
- Do we need to base the mark on CEN standards?

QUESTION 1:

Does European quality mark provide advantages to our members

COMMENTS:

- Advantage to compete with low quality product.
- To differentiate quality levels with CE mark end EN standards
- To open the competition between european laboratories for third part test products
- Defensive action against very low quality products
- Risk: to decrease the brand quality level if it is to accept all member products

OUTPUT:

Yes we see advantages in European Quality Mark

QUESTION 2:

do we develop and manage the mark through ARGE ?

COMMENTS:

- Arge is the good level to have a low cost for a european Quality Mark (comparison with Epds)
- Manufacturers will "keep in the hand" the full requirement rules of that quality mark.
- A quality mark only for Arge Members

OUTPUT:

Yes ARGE is the best organisation to manage a European quality mark if we do it

QUESTION 3:

Do we need to base the mark on CEN standards?

COMMENTS:

- It could be useful to keep our initial investment in EN standards (experts of our industry)
- Cen standard are only a base which could be implemented with other requirements, that we are free to define.
- For example the quality brand could cover (supply chain characteristics. Ease of installation...)
- It has to be much wider than a standard, not only tests performances criteria

OUTPUT:

Yes BUT it has to be more than a higest level of performance.

QUESTION 4:

 Is it enough strategic for our future business to propose to invest money on that project?

COMMENTS:

- It is a strategic project
- It depends of the cost and the return on invest.

OUTPUT:

• It require more investigation and a business case